

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

(Caption of Case)

Annual Review of Purchased Gas Adjustment and  
Gas Purchasing Policies of Piedmont Natural Gas  
Company, Inc.

BEFORE THE  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COVER SHEET

DOCKET

NUMBER: 2010 - 4 - G

(Please type or print)

Submitted by: Scott M. Tyler

SC Bar Number: 12037

Address: Moore & Van Allen PLLC

Telephone: 704-331-2463

Suite 4700, 100 North Tryon St.

Fax: 704-378-1963

Charlotte, NC 28202-4003

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Email: scotttyler@mvalaw.com

NOTE: The cover sheet and information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form is required for use by the Public Service Commission of South Carolina for the purpose of docketing and must be filled out completely.

**DOCKETING INFORMATION** (Check all that apply)

☐ Emergency Relief demanded in petition

☐ Request for item to be placed on Commission's Agenda expeditiously

☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

INDUSTRY (Check one)	NATURE OF ACTION (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Electric	<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavit	<input type="checkbox"/> Letter	<input type="checkbox"/> Request
<input type="checkbox"/> Electric/Gas	<input type="checkbox"/> Agreement	<input type="checkbox"/> Memorandum	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Certificatio
<input type="checkbox"/> Electric/Telecommunications	<input type="checkbox"/> Answer	<input type="checkbox"/> Motion	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Investigation
<input type="checkbox"/> Electric/Water	<input type="checkbox"/> Appellate Review	<input type="checkbox"/> Objection	<input type="checkbox"/> Resale Agreement
<input type="checkbox"/> Electric/Water/Telecom.	<input type="checkbox"/> Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Resale Amendment
<input type="checkbox"/> Electric/Water/Sewer	<input type="checkbox"/> Brief	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition for Reconsideration	<input type="checkbox"/> Reservation Letter
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gas	<input type="checkbox"/> Certificate	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition for Rulemaking	<input type="checkbox"/> Response
<input type="checkbox"/> Railroad	<input type="checkbox"/> Comments	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition for Rule to Show Cause	<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Discovery
<input type="checkbox"/> Sewer	<input type="checkbox"/> Complaint	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Intervene	<input type="checkbox"/> Return to Petition
<input type="checkbox"/> Telecommunications	<input type="checkbox"/> Consent Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Intervene Out of Time	<input type="checkbox"/> Stipulation
<input type="checkbox"/> Transportation	<input type="checkbox"/> Discovery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prefiled Testimony	<input type="checkbox"/> Subpoena
<input type="checkbox"/> Water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exhibit	<input type="checkbox"/> Promotion	<input type="checkbox"/> Tariff
<input type="checkbox"/> Water/Sewer	<input type="checkbox"/> Expedited Consideration	<input type="checkbox"/> Proposed Order	<input type="checkbox"/> Other:
<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative Matter	<input type="checkbox"/> Interconnection Agreement	<input type="checkbox"/> Protest	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other:	<input type="checkbox"/> Interconnection Amendment	<input type="checkbox"/> Publisher's Affidavit	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Late-Filed Exhibit	<input type="checkbox"/> Report	

**Before the  
Public Service Commission of South Carolina**

**Docket No. 2010-4-G**

**Annual Review of Purchased Gas Adjustment and Gas Purchasing Policies  
of  
Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.**

**Testimony  
of  
Keith P. Maust  
  
On Behalf Of  
Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.**

1 **Q. Please state your name and your business address.**

2 A. My name is Keith P. Maust. My business address is 4720 Piedmont Row  
3 Drive, Charlotte, North Carolina.

4 **Q. By whom and in what capacity are you employed?**

5 A. I am employed by Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc., (Piedmont) as  
6 Managing Director, Gas Supply and Scheduling.

7 **Q. Please describe your educational and professional background.**

8 A. I graduated from West Virginia University in 1976 with a Bachelor's  
9 Degree in Business Administration. I was employed by Tennessee Gas  
10 Pipeline for five years from 1983 to 1988 as an Analyst in the Gas Reserves  
11 and Gas Supply departments. I joined Piedmont as a Gas Supply Analyst in  
12 July, 1988. I was promoted to Manager of Gas Supply in 1991 and Director  
13 of Gas Supply in 1995. In 1996 I was promoted to Director of Gas Supply  
14 and Wholesale Marketing. I was promoted to Managing Director, Gas  
15 Supply and Scheduling in 2006.

16 **Q. Please describe the scope of your present responsibilities for Piedmont?**

17 A. My current major responsibilities include supervision of long and short-term  
18 purchasing and scheduling of gas supply and gas cost management activities  
19 and the administration of the Company's hedging plan.

20 **Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission or any other  
21 regulatory authority?**

22 A. Yes, I have presented testimony beginning in 1997 through 2009 and appeared  
23 as a witness before this Commission in the matter of the Commission's annual  
24 review of Piedmont's Gas Costs and Purchasing Policies (Dockets No.97-007-  
25 G, 98-004-G, 99-004-G, 2000-004-G, 2001-004-G, 2002-004-G, 2003-004-G,  
26 2004-004-G, 2005-005-G, 2006-4-G, 2007-4-G, 2008-4-G and 2009-4-G) and

1 in the matter of Piedmont's approved hedging policy (Docket No. 2001-410-G).  
2 I have also presented testimony and appeared as a witness before the North  
3 Carolina Utilities Commission (NCUC) regarding Piedmont's gas purchasing  
4 policies and proposed hedging plan and presented testimony before the  
5 Tennessee Regulatory Authority (TRA) regarding Nashville Gas Company's  
6 Incentive Plan Account.

7 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?**

8 A. My testimony will describe Piedmont's gas purchasing policies. This testimony  
9 is in response to the Commission's directive issued in Order No. 88-294 dated  
10 April 6, 1988 requiring ". . . annual public hearings . . . to review the  
11 Company's . . . gas purchasing policies" and in response to the Commission's  
12 Order establishing pre-filing deadlines in this docket.

13 **Q. What is the period of review in this docket?**

14 A. The review period is April 1, 2009 through March 31, 2010.

15 **Q. Please explain Piedmont's gas purchasing policies.**

16 A. Piedmont has previously utilized and continues to maintain a "best cost" gas  
17 purchasing policy. This policy consists of five main components -- the price of  
18 the gas, the security of the gas supply, the flexibility of the gas supply, gas  
19 deliverability and supplier relations. All of these components are interrelated,  
20 and we will continue to weigh the relative importance of each of these factors  
21 when developing an overall gas supply portfolio to meet the needs of our  
22 customers.

23 **Q. Please describe each of the five components.**

24 A. The "price of the gas" refers to the delivered cost of gas to Piedmont's city  
25 gate. In order to properly judge prices at a comparable transaction point,  
26 Piedmont evaluates purchase prices at the pipeline city gate points of delivery  
27 into Piedmont's distribution facilities. With the unbundling of the interstate

1 pipeline industry, substantial flexibility exists in structuring gas supply  
2 arrangements. The majority of Piedmont's supply purchases take place at  
3 "pooling points" into the pipeline on which Piedmont holds firm transportation  
4 capacity rights. These "pooling point" supply purchases from producers and  
5 marketers include the commodity cost of gas at the pooling points and the fuel  
6 to be retained by the downstream pipeline transporter. Commodity  
7 transportation charges are also assessed separately by pipelines. Any "best  
8 cost" analysis that solely considered supply area or "pooling point" cost would  
9 fail to recognize the varying cost in fuel and commodity costs associated with  
10 transporting gas purchased from different supply area locations to Piedmont's  
11 city gate. In the case of "bundled" city gate supply purchases, Piedmont may  
12 pay the gas supplier an all-inclusive price that covers the cost of gas, fuel and  
13 transportation charges. Of course, peaking and storage services may add  
14 additional injection, withdrawal, and related fuel charges to the city gate cost of  
15 gas. All of these cost components must be taken into account in evaluating the  
16 "price of the gas."

17 "Security of gas supply" refers to the assurances that the supply of gas  
18 will be available when needed. Obviously, it is important to maintain a high  
19 level of supply security for Piedmont's firm customers who have no alternate  
20 fuel capability. Security of gas supply is less important for our interruptible  
21 customers who have access to alternate fuels. In order to reserve firm gas  
22 supplies under contract, fixed reservation fees are generally required in addition  
23 to the commodity cost of gas. In addition, the geographic source of supply, the  
24 nature of the supplier's portfolio of gas supplies and negotiated contract terms  
25 must be considered when evaluating the level of supply security. Thus, the  
26 security of gas supply is interrelated with the price of gas and the other  
27 components of Piedmont's "best cost" purchasing policy.

1           “Flexibility of gas supply” refers to our ability to adjust the volume of  
2           a particular gas supply as operating and market conditions change from time to  
3           time. For example, firm heat sensitive customers will vary their consumption  
4           depending on the weather conditions in Piedmont’s service area. Interruptible  
5           customers will vary their level of purchase depending on the price of alternate  
6           fuels and the demand for product in their own industry. Thus, Piedmont must  
7           arrange a portfolio of gas supplies and storage service flexible enough to meet  
8           the daily and monthly “swings” in the market place. Contractual gas supply  
9           “swing rights” are implemented through periodic renominations with gas  
10          suppliers and through injections into and withdrawals from storage.

11          “Gas deliverability” refers to the ability to obtain Piedmont’s gas  
12          supplies at the city gate through reliable transportation and storage capacity  
13          arrangements. The unbundling of the interstate pipeline industry has created a  
14          complex system of multiple pipeline services and service combinations.  
15          Transportation arrangements can involve intrastate transportation, interstate  
16          lateral line and pooling services, multiple interstate pipeline transportation and  
17          storage arrangements, and balancing and peaking services. The marketplace for  
18          pipeline capacity service is static, with little to no unused capacity available  
19          during periods of design temperature conditions. Consequently, it is important  
20          that we secure and maintain firm transportation and storage capacity rights to  
21          ensure the deliverability of our gas supplies to meet the design day, seasonal,  
22          and annual needs of our customers. Of course, pipeline capacity contracts  
23          require the payment of fixed demand charges to reserve firm transportation or  
24          storage entitlements. Piedmont is active in proceedings at the Federal Energy  
25          Regulatory Commission (FERC) not only with respect to the level of pipeline  
26          charges under these contracts, but also the tariff terms and conditions that apply  
27          to these pipeline services.

1           “Supplier relations” refers to the dependability, integrity and  
2 flexibility of a particular gas supplier. We contract with gas suppliers who have  
3 a reputation of honoring their contractual commitments and have proven  
4 themselves as reliable suppliers. Conversely, we avoid suppliers which have a  
5 reputation of defaulting on contract obligations or who unilaterally interpret  
6 contracts to their advantage. We prefer to deal with suppliers who are  
7 constantly looking for ways to improve service and offer “win-win” solutions  
8 for meeting customer needs.

9   **Q. Please describe the arrangements under which Piedmont purchases gas.**

10   **A.** Piedmont purchases gas supplies under a diverse portfolio of contractual  
11 arrangements with a number of reputable gas producers and marketers. In  
12 general, under Piedmont’s firm gas supply contracts, Piedmont pays negotiated  
13 reservation fees for the right to reserve and call on firm supply service up to a  
14 maximum daily contract quantity (nominated either on a monthly or daily  
15 basis), with market-based commodity prices tied to indices published in  
16 industry trade publications. These firm contracts range in term from one year  
17 (or less) to terms extending into 2013. Longer term contracts may provide for  
18 periodic reservation fee renegotiations. Some of these contracts are for winter  
19 only (peaking or seasonal) service and some provide for 365 day (annual)  
20 service. Firm gas supplies are purchased for reliability and security of service  
21 and are generally priced on a reservation fee basis according to the amount of  
22 nomination flexibility built into the contract (daily swing service generally  
23 being more expensive than monthly baseload service). When existing supply  
24 contracts expire, requests for proposals are sent to potential suppliers, their  
25 responses evaluated, and firm supplies are then contracted from suppliers  
26 whose proposals best fulfill Piedmont’s “best cost” purchasing policy.

1 Piedmont also purchases gas supplies in the spot market under  
2 contract terms of one month or less. These contracts provide for little or no  
3 supply security in that they are interruptible and short term in nature. As a  
4 result, Piedmont relies on these contracts primarily for interruptible markets  
5 during off-peak periods when spot supplies are more abundant and for  
6 supplemental system balancing requirements. Because of the nature of spot  
7 contracts, these supplies do not command reservation fees and are priced on a  
8 commodity basis, generally by reference to industry index or negotiated prices.

9 **Q. How does the interrelationship of the five factors described above**  
10 **determine the character of the supply and capacity contracts under your**  
11 **“best cost” policy?**

12 A. Under our “best cost” policy, we attempt to secure and maintain a supply  
13 portfolio that is in balance with the requirements of our sales markets. Because  
14 our firm sales market must have a secure and reliable gas supply, we meet the  
15 needs of this market primarily with long-term firm supply and transportation  
16 contracts, supplemented by storage and peaking services. The temperature  
17 sensitivity of the firm market necessitates that flexibility of supply and storage  
18 also be provided. As mentioned earlier, firm supply contracts demand a  
19 premium payment, typically in the form of fixed reservation fees. Also, firm  
20 supply contracts with flexibility of swing service entitlements will command a  
21 higher price than baseload arrangements. Because our interruptible market is  
22 more price sensitive and requires less supply security, we supply this market  
23 with off-peak firm gas supply and transportation services when the core market  
24 demand declines and through the purchase of gas supplies in the spot market.  
25 In short, before entering into any agreement to purchase gas or pipeline  
26 capacity, we carefully consider the use for the supply and weigh the five “best  
27 cost” factors (price, security, deliverability, flexibility, and supplier relations).



1 Obviously, a great deal of judgement is required when weighing these factors.  
2 To help us exercise this judgement, we try to keep informed about all aspects of  
3 the natural gas industry. We intervene in all major FERC proceedings  
4 involving our pipeline transporters, stay in constant contact with our existing  
5 and potential suppliers, monitor gas prices on a real-time basis, subscribe to  
6 industry literature, follow supply and demand developments, and attend  
7 industry seminars.

8 **Q. What is your greatest challenge in applying your “best cost” gas**  
9 **purchasing policy?**

10 A. Since most major gas supply decisions require a considerable degree of  
11 planning and must be made years in advance of service, our greatest challenge  
12 is dealing with future uncertainties in a dynamic national and regional energy  
13 market. In a perfect world, we would be able to accurately predict our future  
14 demand for gas, the future availability and pricing of gas supplies and capacity,  
15 and future regulatory policies. Of course, in the real world, we cannot  
16 accurately predict any of these factors. Future demand for gas is affected by  
17 economic conditions, customer conservation efforts, weather patterns,  
18 regulatory policies and industry restructuring in the energy markets. The future  
19 availability and pricing of gas supplies will be affected by overall demand, oil  
20 and gas exploration and development, pipeline expansion projects, and  
21 regulatory policies and approvals.

22 **Q. Please explain the Company’s position regarding the current U.S. supply**  
23 **situation.**

24 A. The United States had been struggling to avoid a gradual decline in natural gas  
25 production, with prices for future delivery on the NYMEX reaching a peak of  
26 \$14.516 for January 2009 supply on July 3, 2008, with the 12 month futures  
27 strip averaging \$13.334 on the same date. Spurred by the huge increase in

1 | prices, producers increased their investment in new production, doubling the rig  
2 | count and outlaying capital for lease acquisitions in unconventional gas plays  
3 | like the Marcellus, Haynesville, Fayetteville, Woodford and Barnett Shales.  
4 | Due to the prolific increase in production from the dramatic increase in drilling  
5 | rigs, new production from shale plays and a drastic reduction in demand due to  
6 | the global recession, the country is now in the midst of a gas bubble. During  
7 | the review period prices for the NYMEX 12 month strip reached a low of  
8 | \$4.534 on April 27, 2009, rebounded to a high of \$6.191 on June 6, 2009 and  
9 | are currently at \$4.979 as of May 28, 2010. Due to the current low price  
10 | environment and credit crunch, producers have substantially reduced their  
11 | drilling activity in an attempt to modify the supply-demand imbalance and  
12 | increase prices. Although there has been reduced drilling activity during the  
13 | review period, supply deliverability has increased and prices have remained  
14 | relatively low and less volatile.

15 | **Q. Please explain the factors that the Company evaluates in determining the**  
16 | **pricing basis for its gas supply contracts. Please discuss the various pricing**  
17 | **alternatives available, such as fixed prices, monthly market indexing and**  
18 | **daily spot market pricing and describe how supplier reservation charges**  
19 | **and discounts or premiums from market prices enter into the evaluation.**

20 | **A.** The Company has various pricing options available to it when developing its  
21 | gas supply portfolio. These options include fixed pricing, monthly market  
22 | indexing and daily spot pricing. Fixed pricing scenarios are addressed in the  
23 | Company's hedging plan, which has been approved by the Commission. The  
24 | reservation fee the Company pays for each contract in its firm supply portfolio  
25 | is dependent upon the pricing options chosen and the supply flexibility  
26 | requirements associated with each contract. Reservation fees are generally  
27 | lower for base load supplies (purchased at a constant volume for the entire

1 month) and higher if swing service is required. Reservation fees vary  
2 depending on the type of swing service being provided. Examples of factors  
3 which affect the cost of swing service are: a) the number of days of swing  
4 required; b) the volume of swing allowed; c) commodity pricing at first of the  
5 month indices versus daily spot pricing; d) first of the month keep whole  
6 pricing; e) intraday versus interday swing capabilities; and f) location of the  
7 supply being purchased. The Company considers its anticipated load factor and  
8 swing requirements under various weather scenarios, measuring the exposure to  
9 price fluctuations of the spot market and the factors listed above and makes a  
10 “best cost” purchasing decision.

11 **Q. Please describe how the Company determines the daily contract quantity**  
12 **of gas supplies that should be acquired through long-term contracts for the**  
13 **whole year, the full winter season and periods less than a full winter**  
14 **season.**

15 A. The Company will purchase gas supplies on a year around basis to fulfill its  
16 firm requirements including storage injections and to minimize supply costs  
17 utilized to serve both firm and interruptible markets. Some of these contracts  
18 will escalate in volume during shoulder months and the winter period  
19 (November through March) as the Company’s firm requirements increase due  
20 to colder weather, thus sculpting year around contracts to fit seasonal needs.  
21 The Company also purchases volumes for the winter period to match its firm  
22 transportation capacity entitlements, which also increase during the winter  
23 period. Lastly, the Company may purchase short-term city gate peaking supply  
24 to fulfill additional firm obligations as the company experiences peak day firm  
25 demand requirements. The company reviews warm winter weather scenarios to  
26 measure its ability to fulfill its contractual purchase commitments with  
27 suppliers.

1 **Q. Please explain the provisions in the Company's gas supply contracts that**  
2 **allow or help facilitate future renegotiation efforts if future market**  
3 **conditions offer new opportunities and describe any contractual restraints**  
4 **that prevented the Company from obtaining the full benefit of favorable**  
5 **spot market conditions during the review period.**

6 A. All of the Company's supply contracts have market-based commodity prices  
7 tied to indices published in industry trade publications. These commodity  
8 pricing provisions allow the Company to obtain the full benefit of market  
9 priced gas.

10 **Q. What process does the Company employ in selecting its firm gas suppliers?**

11 A. The Company identifies the volume and type of supply that it needs to fulfill its  
12 market requirements and solicits requests for proposals (RFP's) from a list of  
13 suppliers that the gas supply department continuously updates as potential  
14 suppliers enter and leave the market place. As mentioned earlier, type of  
15 supply is classified as baseload or swing and firm or interruptible. Requests for  
16 proposals for swing supply may be further categorized into pricing based on  
17 first of the month indices, keep whole, or daily market indices. Swing supplies  
18 priced at first of the month indices command the highest reservation fees  
19 because suppliers incur all the risk associated with market volatility during the  
20 delivery period. Keep whole contracts require the Company to reimburse  
21 suppliers for the difference between first of the month index prices and lower  
22 daily market prices if the Company doesn't take its full contractual volume.  
23 Because the Company assumes the volatility risk associated with falling prices,  
24 a lower reservation fee is warranted. Lower reservation fees are also associated  
25 with swing contracts based upon daily market conditions because both buyer  
26 and seller assume the risk of daily market volatility. After forecasting the load  
27 factor of each individual contract and evaluating the cost of reservation fees

1 associated with each type of supply and its corresponding bid, the Company  
2 makes a “best cost” decision on which type of supply and supplier to fulfill its  
3 needs.

4 **Q. Please summarize any supply arrangements entered into by the Company**  
5 **during the review period.**

6 A. During the review period the Company added new seasonal or year around  
7 supply utilizing its normal RFP process described earlier.

8 **Q. Please describe the process that Piedmont utilized and the market**  
9 **intelligence evaluated during the review period to determine the prices**  
10 **charged for off-system sales.**

11 A. The process and information used by Piedmont in pricing off-system sales  
12 depends upon the term of the sale, the type of sale and prevailing market  
13 conditions at the time of the sale. For long-term delivered sales (longer than  
14 one month), Piedmont solicits bids from potential buyers and awards volumes  
15 based on the bids received. For short-term transactions (daily or monthly)  
16 Piedmont will monitor prices and volumes on Intercontinental Exchange  
17 (Intercontinental Exchange or “ICE” is an electronic trading platform where  
18 potential buyers post bids and potential sellers post offers at various physical  
19 locations), talk to various market participants on the telephone and for less  
20 liquid trading points, estimate prices based on price relationships with more  
21 liquid points. The Company will also evaluate the amount of supply available  
22 for sale and weigh that against current market conditions in formulating its  
23 sales strategy (i.e., if Piedmont has a large amount of supply to sell on a  
24 particular day and determines that market demand is low, the Company will be  
25 more aggressive in its sales strategy. The Company incorporates all these  
26 factors and then initiates sales via “ICE” or over the telephone.

1 **Q. Did Piedmont make any changes in its gas purchasing policies or practices**  
2 **during the period of review?**

3 A. Piedmont did not implement any changes in its “best cost” gas purchasing  
4 policies or practices during the test period.

5 **Q. Did Piedmont’s Hedging Plan work properly during the review period?**

6 A. Yes. The Hedging Plan accomplished its goal of providing an additional tool to  
7 reduce gas cost volatility to customers in South Carolina that purchase gas from  
8 Piedmont.

9 **Q. What were the net economic results of the Hedging Plan during the review**  
10 **period?**

11 A. Piedmont’s South Carolina customers incurred a net economic cost of  
12 \$5,435,784 as a result of Piedmont’s hedging plan during the review period.  
13 This net economic impact includes expenses incurred in administering the  
14 program including commissions, software, subscriptions and data feed.

15 **Q. Given the changed supply-demand environment, has the Company made**  
16 **any changes to its hedging plan?**

17 A. Yes. The Company reduced the maximum and minimum percentages of  
18 normalizes sales to be hedged from 60% and 30% to 45% and 22.5 %  
19 respectively. The Company believes that the increased gas supply  
20 deliverability caused primarily by the prolific increase in shale related natural  
21 gas production warrants a reduction in the level of protection necessary to  
22 protect the Company’s customers from today’s reduced market price volatility.  
23 Although natural gas shale production has some environmental issues –  
24 primarily the use of fracing fluids and the use and disposal of large amounts of  
25 water necessary to effectuate production, those issues have been successfully  
26 dealt with for several years. The Company believes that any regulatory action  
27 taken that would adversely affect natural gas shale production would take some

1 time to affect deliverability. The Company will continue to closely monitor the  
2 gas supply – demand picture and make changes it deems necessary to its  
3 hedging program.

4 The Company also made the decision during the review period to cease  
5 selling puts and calls as part of its hedging program. This change will allow the  
6 customer to participate in all downward price market movement while  
7 eliminating any market cap on price protection. Although this change will  
8 lower the level of initial price protection on the high side, it will also eliminate  
9 any potential for extraordinary losses in the hedging plan.

10 **Q. Please describe how compliance with the Hedging Plan is monitored.**

11 A. Currently, the Gas Accounting, Finance, and Corporate Compliance areas  
12 perform ongoing activities to monitor compliance with the Plan. In addition, on  
13 a bi-monthly basis the Energy Price Risk Management Committee (EPRMC)  
14 monitors compliance to the Plan, as well as consider and approve and changes  
15 to the Plan, as mentioned above. Periodic internal audits have and will be  
16 performed to ensure controls continue to be adequate and function as  
17 management intends.

18 **Q. Have there been any deviations from the Hedging Plan during the review**  
19 **period?**

20 A. There were no deviations from the plan during the review period.

21 **Q. Did the Company take any other action to reduce price volatility for its**  
22 **customers?**

23 A. The Company continues to utilize storage as a physical hedge to stabilize cost.  
24 The Company's Equal Payment Plan and use of the PGA benchmark price and  
25 deferred cost accounting also allowed for a smoothing effect on gas price  
26 volatility.

1 **Q. What are some of the other steps Piedmont has taken to manage its gas**  
2 **costs consistent with its “best cost” policy during the review period?**

3 A. During the past year, Piedmont has taken the following additional steps to  
4 manage its gas costs, consistent with its “best cost” policy:

5 (1) Piedmont has, as more fully described in Mr. Williams testimony,  
6 actively participated in proceedings before the FERC and other regulatory  
7 agencies that could reasonably be expected to affect Piedmont’s rates and  
8 services;

9 (2) Piedmont has utilized the flexibility available within its supply  
10 and capacity contracts to purchase and dispatch gas, release capacity and  
11 initiate secondary marketing sales in the most cost effective manner, resulting  
12 in South Carolina capacity release and secondary market sales credits of  
13 \$5,435,784, a decrease of \$58,249 over the prior year;

14 (3) Piedmont has actively promoted more efficient peak day use of  
15 natural gas and load growth from “year-around” markets in order to improve  
16 the Company’s load factor and reduce average unit costs.

17 **Q. Please summarize your testimony.**

18 A. Piedmont’s “best cost” purchasing policy provides the Company with a secure,  
19 reasonably priced supply of gas to meet the requirements of its customers. This  
20 policy and the Company’s practice under this policy have been reviewed and  
21 found prudent on all occasions in South Carolina and the other state  
22 jurisdictions in which we operate. Although we believe our policies and  
23 procedures are reasonable, we are cognizant of the fact that the natural gas  
24 industry is rapidly changing, and we are constantly monitoring our policies and  
25 procedures to keep up with, and even anticipate, these changing conditions. We  
26 have and will continue to meet with the Commission and ORS Staff to review  
27 current regulations and tariffs and explore possible changes that will better



1       serve natural gas consumers in the future. We are satisfied that our existing  
2       policies and procedures are prudent and that they have produced and will  
3       continue to produce adequate amounts of reasonably priced gas for our  
4       customers.

5       **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

6       A. Yes.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the attached documents are being served this date via email and UPS Overnight (5 copies) upon:

Jeffrey M. Nelson  
Office of Regulatory Staff  
1401 Main Street  
Suite 900  
Columbia, South Carolina 29201  
jnelson@regstaff.sc.gov

And that a copy of the attached documents are being served this date via email and U.S. Mail upon:

David Carpenter  
Managing Director Regulatory Affairs  
Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.  
P.O. Box 33068  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28233  
david.carpenter@piedmontng.com

Pia Powers  
Manager, Regulatory Affairs  
Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.  
P.O. Box 33068  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28233  
pia.powers@piedmontng.com

This the 10th day of June, 2010.

s/ Scott M. Tyler  
Scott M. Tyler